1 2 3 4 5 6 7	FRANCIS O. SCARPULLA (41059) CRAIG C. CORBITT (83251) CHRISTOPHER T. MICHELETTI (136446) JANE YI (257893) ZELLE HOFMANN VOELBEL & MASON 44 Montgomery Street, Suite 3400 San Francisco, CA 94104 Telephone: (415) 693-0700 Facsimile: (415) 693-0770 fscarpulla@zelle.com ccorbitt@zelle.com Lead and Liaison Counsel for	LLP		
8	Indirect Purchaser Class			
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
10				
11	OAKLAND DIVISION			
12	IN RE STATIC RANDOM ACCESS MEMORY (SRAM) ANTITRUST	Case No. M:07-CV-01819-CW		
13	LITIGATION	MDL No. 1819		
14		STIPULATION AND ORDER AMENDING ORDER GRANTING IP		
15	This Document Relates to:	PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR CLASS CERTIFICATION		
16	ALL INDIRECT PURCHASER ACTIONS	CERTIFICATION		
17	ALL INDIRECT FURCHASER ACTIONS			
18				
19	WHEREAS, on November 25, 2009, the Court issued an Order Granting Indirect Purchaser			
20	Plaintiffs' Motion for Class Certification and Denying Motions to Exclude Expert Opinions (Dkt.			
21	903) ("Order Granting Certification"), wherein the Court certified a nationwide injunctive class of			
22	indirect purchasers of SRAM pursuant to Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 23(a) and (b)(2), as well			
23	as twenty-seven (27) state classes of indirect purchasers of SRAM seeking damages and/or			
24	restitution under Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 23(a) and (b)(3); and			
25	WHEREAS, the Court's Order certified a nationwide injunctive class of indirect purchasers			
26	of SRAM pursuant to the definition of that class as provided in the Complaint; and			
27	WHEREAS, Indirect Purchaser ("IP") Plaintiffs, in their Notice Of Motion And Motion Of			
28	Indirect Purchaser Plaintiffs For Class Certification, dated January 29, 2009 ("Notice Of Motion			
		1 STIPULATION AND IPROPOSEDI ORDER AMENDING		

And Motion") (Dkt. 645), at page 2 n.1, and in their Memorandum In Support Of Motion For Class
Certification, dated January 29, 2009 (Dkt. 645-2), at page 5, expressly limited the definition and
scope of each of the requested state plaintiff classes to purchasers of the following "products
containing SRAM": "handheld computer devices (also known as personal digital assistants ('PDAs'
and smart phones), desktop computers (with separate level 2 cache memory), servers, mainframes,
Voice-Over Internet Protocol Systems, routers, switches, modems, storage area networks and
firewalls"; and

WHEREAS, the briefing, discovery and oral argument on the IP Plaintiffs' Motion For Class Certification all proceeded and were limited in scope as to the requested state plaintiff classes based on the foregoing limited definition of "products containing SRAM"; and

WHEREAS, the foregoing limited definition of "products containing SRAM" was not explicitly referenced in the definitions of the state plaintiff classes set forth on pages 29 to 36 of the Order Granting Certification; and

WHEREAS, the parties wish to avoid any ambiguity with respect to the "products containing SRAM" at issue with respect to the state plaintiff classes certified in the Order Granting Certification for purposes of further litigation of this matter, without effect on the scope of any settlement class;

NOW THEREFORE, it is hereby stipulated by the undersigned counsel on behalf of the parties identified below, and subject to the Court's approval, that:

The Court's Order Granting Certification be amended to add the following language, which is substantively identical to language appearing in IP Plaintiffs' Notice Of Motion And Motion, on page 36 following the Court's descriptions of the state classes and before the class representatives are identified beginning on lines 22-23 and which is done for purposes of the litigation of these matters:

"Products containing SRAM" as used in each of the foregoing state plaintiff class definitions shall be limited for litigation purposes to handheld computer devices (also known as personal digital assistants ("PDAs") and smart phones), desktop computers (with separate level 2 cache memory), servers, mainframes, Voice-Over Internet Protocol

-2-

1	Systems, routers, switches, modems, storage area networks and		
2	firewalls.		
3	Dated: April 1, 2010 By	/ :	/s/ Christopher T. Micheletti
4		-	CHRISTOPHER T. MICHELETTI
5			ZELLE HOFMANN VOELBEL & MASON LLP
			Lead and Liaison Counsel for Indirect-
6			Purchaser Class
7	Dated: April 1, 2010 By	/ :	/s/ Michael W. Scarborough
8			MICHAEL W. SCARBOROUGH
9			SHEPPARD MULLIN RICHTER & HAMPTON LLP
			Attorney for Defendants
10			Samsung Electronics Company, Ltd.,
11			Samsung Semiconductor, Inc. and
			Samsung Electronics America, Inc.
12	Dated: April 1, 2010 By	٧: _	/s/ Gary A. Winters
13			GARY A. WINTERS MAYER BROWN LLP
14			Attorney for Defendant
15			Cypress Semiconductor Corporation
16	Additional Defendants and Counsel:		
17	Additional Defendants and Counsel:		
18	By /s/ Belinda Lee	_	By /s/ Matthew S. Leddicotte
10	BELINDA LEE		MATTHEW S. LEDDICOTTE (pro hac vice)
19	LATHAM & WATKINS LLP Attorney for Defendants		WHITE & CASE LLP Attorney for Defendants
20	Toshiba Corporation and Toshiba		Etron Technology Corporation and Etron
21	America Electronic Components, Inc.		Technology America, Inc.
22	By /s/ Joshua D. N. Hess		By /s/ Michael F. Tubach
	JOSHUA D. N. HESS	_	MICHAEL F. TUBACH
23	GIBSON DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP		O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP
24	Attorney for Defendants Micron Technology, Inc. and Micron		Attorney for Defendants Hynix Semiconductor Inc., and
25	Semiconductor Products, Inc.		Hynix Semiconductor America Inc.
	Dr. /c/ Comic D. Cool 11		Dec /r/Dec / Cuittin
26	By /s/ Craig P. Seebald CRAIG P. SEEBALD (pro hac vice)	-	By <u>/s/ Paul Griffin</u> PAUL GRIFFIN
27	McDERMOTT WILL & EMERY LLP		WINSTON & STRAWN LLP
	Attorney for Defendants		Attorney for Defendants
28	Renesas Technology Corp., Renesas		NEC Electronics Corporation and NEC
	W02-WEST:1LDC2\402554631.2	-3-	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER AMENDING ORDER GRANTING IPP CLASS CERTIFICATION MOTION

Case 4:07-md-01819-CW Document 981 Filed 04/05/10 Page 4 of 4

1 2	Technology America, Inc., Mitsubishi Electric Corporation, and Mitsubishi Electric & Electronics USA, Inc.	Electronics America, Inc. and Liaison Counsel for Defendants	
3			
4	I, Christopher T. Micheletti, hereby attes	st, pursuant to N.D. Cal. General Order No. 45, that	
5	the concurrence to the filing of this document has been obtained from each signatory hereto.		
6		/a/Chuistanhau T. Mishalatti	
7		/s/ Christopher T. Micheletti Christopher T. Micheletti	
8			
9	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
10	Datadi April 5, 2010	(bydiale)	
11	Dated:April 5, 2010	THE HONORABLE CLAUDIA WILKEN	
12		United States District Judge Northern District of California	
13			
14			
15	3220766.3		
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
2526			
27			
28			
20			